UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/598,156	04/10/2007	Christopher James Newton Fryer	1788.004.US	1688
	7590 01/19/201 Electronix, Inc.	EXAMINER		
c/o Keating & Bennett, LLP 1800 Alexander Bell Drive Suite 200 Reston, VA 20191			FRY, MATTHEW A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/19/2012	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

uspto@kbiplaw.com jkeating@kbiplaw.com pmedley@kbiplaw.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/598,156	FRYER ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
MATTHEW FRY	2629

	MATTHEW FRY	2629				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	ars on the cover sheet with t	ne correspondence address				
THE REPLY FILED 1/11/12 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICAT	ION IN CONDITION FOR ALL	OWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods:	eplies: (1) an amendment, affic al (with appeal fee) in complia	davit, or other evidence, which places the nee with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request				
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of	'					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Ac no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	ter than SIX MONTHS from the m b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection.				
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl	iance with 37 CFB 41.37 must	be filed within two months of the date of				
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed value. AMENDMENTS	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	, to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
3. X The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, b	ut prior to the date of filing a bi	ief, will <u>not</u> be entered because				
(a) They raise new issues that would require further con	sideration and/or search (see					
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below	, .					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in betteappeal; and/or	er form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d) \square They present additional claims without canceling a c	orresponding number of finally	rejected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.11						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	 See attached Notice of Non 	Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):						
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowed non-allowable claim(s). 	owable if submitted in a separa	te, timely filed amendment canceling the				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7, 13-16. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).						
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.						
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☑ Other: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .						
/Bipin Shalwala/	/MATTHEW A FRY/					
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629	Examiner, Art Unit 26	29				
•		-				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: Independent claims 1, 13 and 14 recite new limitations in which the third electrode is the case of the display which would require further search and consideration..

Continuation of 13. Other: In page 6 of the remarks, the Applicant asserts that during an interview the Examiner indicated that "the third electrode is the case of the display" would be allowable subject matter. The Examiner respectfully disagrees. No such agreement was reached. During the interview on 1/3/12, the Examiner suggested the Applicant consider this amendment as the current prior art of record does not appear to disclose using the display casing as an electrode. However, as this amendment has changed the scope of the claim limitations, it requires further search and consideration.